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In traditional view in Islamic jurisprudence, Prescribed Punishments *(Hodud)* are often understood as unchangeable and inflexible. This, in its turn, has led the modern criminal institutions not to be applied in prescribed punishments. Indeed, while modern criminal entities are welcomed in discretionary punishments *(Tazira’t*), they have been hardly welcomed in *Hodud*. The result has been a more differential system of punishment containing *had* and *Ta’zir* with different laws and rules. Having chosen an analytical-critical methodology, this paper shows that even inflexibility of prescribed punishments is not a cause for modern criminal entities not to be applied in them. Reliance upon unconditionality *(itlaq)* of the evidences related to prescribed punishments is not a sufficient and convincing argument to put prescribed punishments far from the modern entities. Also this paper shows that impermissibility of postponement of *Had* and its cancelation, as insisted in some religious texts, is not inconsistent with criminal concepts like deferment of judgement, suspension of punishment and prohibition of double punishment.
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